



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. EN995141V 06/29/99 09/342,584 APPELT **EXAMINER** MMC2/0309 <u>ALCALA.</u>J KEVIN R CASEY **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER RATNER & PRESTIA SUITE 301 ONE WESTLAKES BERWYN P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE PA 19482-0980 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

03/09/01

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/342,584	APPELT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jose H Alcala	2841
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a fit NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion and the set of the set o	N. 1.136 (a). In no event, however, m reply within the statutory minimum o iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) atute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 June 1999</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claims 1-47 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for d		
Attachment(s)		
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	(8) 19) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:



Application/Control Number: 09/342,584

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8,36-37,9-35,38,39,40-41,46-47, drawn to a printed circuit board, classified in class 174, subclass 262.
 - II. Claims 42-45, drawn to a method of making a printed circuit board, classified in class 29, subclass 829.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the printed circuit board of group I can be made by providing a resin layer or material and mix in fibers as an additive for a substrate, instead of providing a glass fiber substrate and then impregnating said substrate with a resin.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.





Art Unit: 2841

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species of group I.

Species 1: Embodiment 1 as described by Figure 3.

Species 2: Embodiment 2 as described by Figure 4.

Species 3: Embodiment 3 as described by Figure 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).



Application/Control Number: 09/342,584

Art Unit: 2841

- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Kevin R Casey on 3/6/01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jose H Alcala whose telephone number is (703) 305-9844. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday.





Art Unit: 2841

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (703) 308-3301. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JHA March 6, 2001

W. COVILC